UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/051,397	01/17/2002	Scott B. Marovich	10003530-1	9611
	7590 03/12/200 CKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER		
	perty Administration	ISMAIL, SHAWKI SAIF		
P.O. Box 27240 Fort Collins, CO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2155	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/12/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/051,397	MAROVICH, SCOTT B.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	

		SHAWKI S. ISMAIL	2155	
The MAILING DATE of	this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>31 January 200</u>	8 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a fina application, applicant must tim application in condition for allo 	rejection, but prior to or on ely file one of the following i wance; (2) a Notice of Appe		Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires on event, however, will the st	n: (1) the mailing date of this A atutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	n.
MONTHS OF THE FINAL RE Extensions of time may be obtained und have been filed is the date for purposes under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any re may reduce any earned patent term adju	JECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f er 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of of determining the period of ext (1) the expiration date of the s ply received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount on hortened statutory period for reply origi	36(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriate nally set in the final Office	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL				
Notice of Appeal has been file	CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be to a sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to thin the time period set forth in 37 (avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS				
 The proposed amendment(s) (a) ☐ They raise new issues the (b) ☐ They raise the issue of respective to the issue of the issue of	nat would require further cor	nsideration and/or search (see NOT		cause
` ' _ 	•	er form for appeal by materially rec	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional	claims without canceling a c 7 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in c	, ,,	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcon	ne the following rejection(s):		·	•
non-allowable claim(s).	· ,	owable if submitted in a separate, t	•	-
7. For purposes of appeal, the p how the new or amended clair The status of the claim(s) is (c Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>All pending</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consi	ns would be rejected is prov r will be) as follows:		l be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	<u>.</u>			
 The affidavit or other evidence because applicant failed to prowas not earlier presented. Se 	ovide a showing of good and	before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affidavi		
	or other evidence failed to o	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fails	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidend REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATI	•	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
 The request for reconsiderati See Continuation Sheet. 	on has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Informatio 13. ☐ Other:	n Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
		/saleh najjar/ SPE AU 21	155	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The newly added limitations raise new issues that will require further search and/or consideration. Although the examiner did suggest such language to the applicant in order to bring the case into condition for allowance, the examiner must update the prior art search prior to issue of the case and this will require further search and/or consideration by the examiner..